Applying the Wyoming Stream Quantification Tool on Savery

Greek, Wyoming

Paul Dey-WGFD and Mark Blakely-LSRCD





Applying the WSQT on Savery Creek

- Compare WSQT predictions on Savery Creek where multiple restoration approaches were used
- Illustrate effort required
- Highlight future improvements exposed by the WSQT









Savery Creek Stream Restoration

- Collaboration among Little Snake River CD, BLM, TU, WWDO, and WGFD with major funding from WWNRT
- Restoration to address eroding banks and improve floodplain connectivity, riparian vegetation, and aquatic habitat for trout





Savery Creek Stream Restoration

- ~ 4 mile reach starting from the dam
- 14 vertical sheet pile weirs = sub-reaches
- Restoration mostly within existing channel
- Designer unaware of WSQT

Treatments:

- J-hook vanes
- Cross vanes
- Toe wood banks
- Bankfull benches
- Log vanes

- Bank toe logs
- Log sills
- Rock sills
- Channel narrowing









-Browns-Hy

Savery Creek Overall reach

Sheet Pile #9 Sheetpile#8

Sheet pile #12 Sheet Pile 11 Sheet Pile #10



Google Earth

S









Wyoming Stream Quantification Tool (WSQT ver 1.0)

- Spreadsheet tool that quantifies stream losses (debits) and mitigation credits
- Implemented in 2018 to comply with the 2008 compensatory mitigation rule and provide a basis to mitigate stream impacts
- USACE, USEPA, WDEQ, WGFD and Will Harmon of Stream Mechanics

https://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/RegulatoryProgram/Wyoming/Miti gation/





Wyoming Stream Quantification Tool User Manual (Version 1.0)





Wyoming Stream Quantification Tool (WSQT)

How does the WSQT work?

- Integrates metrics from multiple categories into a reach based score, reflecting functional lift
- Functional <u>Categories</u> comprised of <u>Parameters</u>, measured via several <u>Metrics</u>
- It is NOT necessary to do ALL categories, or parameters or metrics.

Functional Category	Function-Based Parameter	Metric	Field Value
Darah Underlam, 9	Reach Runoff	Land Use Coefficient Concentrated Flow Points	
Reach Hydrology &	Flow Alteration	Q_Low, Measured / Q_Low, Expected	w.
nyaraulics	Floodplain Connectivity	Bank Height Ratio Entrenchment Ratio	
	Large Woody Debris	LWD Index No. of LWD Pieces/ 100 meters	
	Lateral Migration	Greenline Stability Rating Dominant BEHI/NBS Percent Streambank Erosion (%) Percent Armoring (%)	
	Bed Material Characterization	Size Class Pebble Count Analyzer (p-value)	
Geomorphology	Bed Form Diversity	Pool Spacing Ratio Pool Depth Ratio Percent Riffle (%) Aggradation Ratio	
	Plan Form	Sinuosity	
	Riparian Vegetation	Riparian Width (%) Woody Vegetation Cover (%) Herbaceous Vegetation Cover (%) Percent Native Cover (%)	
Obserieschamical	Temperature	MWAT (°C)	
Physicocnemical	Nutrients	Chlorophyll (mg/m2)	2
Biology	Macroinvertebrates	WSII RIVPACS	
	Fish	Native Fish Species Richness (% of Expected) SGCN Absent Score Game Species Biomass (% Change)	

Savery Creek WSQT

- Baseline data collected for 4 sub reaches in 2019
- Post-construction data in 2020 & 2021
- 5 Parameters

Functional Category	Function-Based Parameter	Metric	Field Value
Parch Hudralam 8	Reach Runoff	Land Use Coefficient Concentrated Flow Points	
Hudroulies	Flow Alteration	Q_Low, Measured / Q_Low, Expected	
	Floodplain Connectivity	Bank Height Ratio Entrenchment Ratio	
	Large Woody Debris	LWD Index No. of LWD Pieces/ 100 meters	
<	Lateral Migration	Greenline Stability Rating Dominant BEHI/NBS Percent Streambank Erosion (%) Percent Armoring (%)	
	Bed Material Characterization Size Class Pebble Count Analyzer (p-valu		
Geomorphology	Bed Form Diversity	Pool Spacing Ratio Pool Depth Ratio Percent Riffle (%) Aggradation Ratio	
	Plan Form	Sinuosity	1
<	Riparian Vegetation	Riparian Width (%) Woody Vegetation Cover (%) Herbaceous Vegetation Cover (%) Percent Native Cover (%)	
Physicschamical	Temperature	MWAT (°C)	
i mysicochennear	Nutrients	Chlorophyll (mg/m2)	
$(\land))$	Macroinvertebrates	WSII RIVPACS	
Biblog	Fish	Native Fish Species Richness (% of Expected) SGCN Absent Score Game Species Biomass (% Change)	

Savery Creek WSQT

- 13 metrics assessed
 - BHR
 - ER
 - No. wood pieces
 - Dominant BEHI/NBS
 - % Erosion
 - % Armoring
 - Pool Spacing Ratio
 - Pool Depth Ratio
 - % Riffle
 - Riparian Width
 - % Woody Cover
 - % Herbaceous Cover
 - % Native Cover

Functional Category	Function-Based Parameter	Metric	Field Value
Paach Hydrolom ⁹	Reach Runoff	Land Use Coefficient Concentrated Flow Points	
Hudenulies	Flow Alteration	Q_Low, Measured / Q_Low, Expected	
	Floodplain Connectivity	Bank Height Ratio Entrenchment Ratio	
(Large Woody Debris	LWD Index No. of LWD Pieces/ 100 meters	
<	Lateral Migration	Greenline Stability Rating Dominant BEHI/NBS Percent Streambank Erosion (%) Percent Armoring (%)	
	Bed Material Characterization	Size Class Pebble Count Analyzer (p-value)	
Geomorphology	Bed Form Diversity	Pool Spacing Ratio Pool Depth Ratio Percent Riffle (%) Aggradation Ratio	
	Plan Form	Sinuosity	
	Riparian Vegetation	Riparian Width (%) Woody Vegetation Cover (%) Herbaceous Vegetation Cover (%) Percent Native Cover (%)	
Dhusieg amigal	Temperature	MWAT (°C)	
Physicochemical	Nutrients	Chlorophyll (mg/m2)	
())	Macroinvertebrates	WSII RIVPACS	
Biology	Fish	Native Fish Species Richness (% of Expected) SGCN Absent Score Game Species Biomass (% Change)	

Savery Creek WSQT Take Home #1...

Collecting WSQT data is not onerous...



WSQT Effort (Rapid Method)

Office Preparation= 16 hrsField: 2 People, 8 hrs/site, 4 sites X 2= 128 hrsOffice Analysis= 32 hrsTotal 4 sites representing 5,610 feet = 176 hrs

Cost of WSQT @ \$50/hour = \$8,800 @ \$100/hour = \$17,600 \/S Restoring 5,610 feet @ \$100 per ft = \$561,000

Running WSQT < 2-3% of construction cost





Savery Creek WSQT Take Home #2...

WSQT predicted functional improvement AND reflected different levels of restoration

Designs ARE restoring functions

Counting on woody debris for "lift"

 <u>Most</u> degraded reach (Reach 11) showed the <u>most</u> improvement





Savery Creek WSQT Results – Reach 11 (Greatest Condition Score Difference)

FUNCTION BASED PARAMETERS SUMMARY					
Functional Category Function-Based Parameters		Existing Parameter	Proposed Parameter		
	Reach Runoff	1.00	1.00		
Reach Hydrology & Hydraulics	Flow Alteration	1.00	1.00		
	Floodplain Connectivity	0.50	1.00		
	Large Woody Debris	0.00	1.00		
	Lateral Migration	0.62	0.96		
Coorregination	Bed Material Characterization				
Geomorphology	Bed Form Diversity	0.38	0.64		
	Plan Form				
	Riparian Vegetation	0.66	0.72		
Physicschamical	Temperature				
Physicochemical	Nutrients				
Dielegy	Macroinvertebrates				
ыоюду	Fish				

FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY REPORT CARD

Functional Category	ECS	PCS	Functional Change
Reach Hydrology & Hydraulics	0.83	1.00	0.17
Geomorphology	0.41	0.83	0.42
Physicochemical			
Biology			



Existing & Proposed Condition Scores





Savery Creek WSQT Take Home #3...

The restorations did not yield the full potential for functional improvement

✓ Woody Debris (where is it?)

Ideal riffle percentages not achieved

Ideal pool-pool spacing not achieved

Riparian vegetation needs to recover



Existing, Proposed, Realized Scores





WSQT Savery Creek Reach 11

		EXISTING		PROPOSED		AS-BUILT	
Parameter	Metric	Field Value	Index Value	Field Value	Index Value	Field Value	Index Value
Eloodalain Connectivit	Bank Height Ratio	1	1.00	1.0	1.00	1.0	1.00
	Entrenchment Ratio	1.7	0.00	5.0	1.00	3.8	0.86
Large Woody Debris	No. of LWD Pieces/ 100 meters <	0	0.00	31	1.00	4	0.22
	Dominant BEHI/NBS	M/H	0.30	L/L	1.00	L/L	1.00
Lateral Migration	Percent Streambank Erosion (%)	14	0.66	1	1.00	0	1.00
	Percent Armoring (%)	3.3	0.89	3.3	0.89	33	0.89
	Pool Spacing Ratio	9.7	0.00	9.9	0.00	8.7	0.19
Bed Form Diversity	Pool Depth Ratio	2.2	0.70	3.2	1.00	3.9	1.00
	Percent Riffle (%)	78	0.43	48	0.93	40	0.72
Riparian Vegetation	Riparian Width (%)	13	0.00	23	0.00	14.1	0.00
	Woody Vegetation Cover (%)	85	0.81	95	0.87	57	0.60
	Herbaceous Vegetation Cover (%)	88	1.00	88	1.00	42	0.52
	Percent Native Cover (%)	95	0.83	100	1.00	97	0.90



WSQT Savery Creek: Woody Debris

Woody debris count improvement < anticipated

<u>Reach</u>	Pre-	Design	<u>As-Built</u>
8	0	23	13
10	0	0	0
11	0	31	4

- Reach 11: installed 70' toewood, not 130' \checkmark Toewood footer logs buried... not yield 1 piece / 6' Rootwads did not yield countable pieces (1 per 15') \checkmark **Estimate woody debris count only from**

obvious wood structures **Consider using woody debris index**



Bedform Diversity: % Riffles

 % Riffle improvement was limited comparing pre-project, design and as-built:

Note: C-channel, reference curve recognizes 50-60% riffle as ideal

<u>Reach</u>	Pre-	Design	<u>As-Built</u>
8	60% (1.0)	59% (1.0)	33% (0.53)
10	71% (0.66)	36% (0.61)	30% (0.45)
11	78% (0.43)	48% (0.93)	40% (0.72)

Not enough riffle designed and even less riffle installed



Bedform Diversity: Pool-Pool Spacing Ratio

- Pool-pool spacing improvement was limited because we did not change planform (add meanders)
- Adding pools in a long straight reach does not help
- Reach 10 existing, design and asbuilt all had good pool-pool spacing
- Reach 11 existing, design and asbuilt all had poor pool-pool spacing





Bedform Diversity: Pool-Pool Spacing Ratio

TIPS:

✓ Follow the manual:

- Measure geomorphic (outside meander bends) pools
- Compound pool deepest pool
- Compound bend both pools
- ✓ Use design long. profile as guide
- List stations for existing, design, and as-built pools side-by-side.



WSQT Savery Creek: Riparian Vegetation

Riparian vegetation shows effects of bare banks post-construction



% Native(less plots on high ground)



WSQT Savery Creek: Riparian Vegetation



WSQT Savery Creek Overall Summary

Although SQT's are <u>not</u> meant to serve as design tools, being aware of their metrics and approach could provide inspiration to improve restoration designs and implementation.

Hindsight:

- Designs should consider planform changes...it helps bedform diversity
- Always add wood and lots of it
- Less structures in riffles...and more riffles
- Build the riffles as long as designed



