



If you build it, do they  
come?

Biological Monitoring Pre- and  
Post-Restoration

Bree Stephens

8/1/2022



# Study Objectives

---

- Initiated in 2017, RES funded an internal research project for biological sampling at stream restoration sites
- Goals include:
  - Provide data for the discussion on ecological uplift in stream restoration
  - Determine achievable ecological uplift in stream restoration projects
  - Improve design process to produce better habitat
  - Show clients and the public the charismatic side of stream restoration, the critters!



Flyer



Crane fly larvae

# Setting Expectations Based on Context



Mud Minnow



Hellgrammite

- **What are your watershed conditions?**
  - Poor water quality, heavy sediment load, and high water temperatures impacts what can live in your stream
- **What is the existing assemblage?**
  - Pre-restoration sampling is vital to understanding potential and achieved uplift
- **Do aquatic organisms have access to your stream?**
  - Upstream and downstream connectivity is key to recruitment
- **Does the designed restoration improve habitat diversity and quality?**
  - What habitat was lacking pre-restoration?



# Determining Ecological Uplift Goals

---

- Expect at minimum to see return of the pre-restoration assemblage – this may take time
- Determine if additional recruitment is possible based on site conditions
- Look beyond Indices of Biological Integrity (IBIs) for success criteria
  - Habitat requirements
  - Spawning substrate needs
  - Functional feeding groups



Pirate Perch



Shorthead Redhorse



**Little Westham Creek  
Proctors Creek  
Pikes Branch**

# Project Examples



# Little Westham Creek, University of Richmond - TMDL



## Pre-construction

- 3 mi<sup>2</sup> suburban watershed, 13% impervious
- Reach begins downstream of a large dam
- Limited habitat diversity, no floodplain access



## Post-construction

- Smaller baseflow channel
- Frequent floodplain access
- Increased riffle habitat
- Native vegetation

# Little Westham Creek - Fish

Little Westham Creek Fish Species Caught By Year - Restorations Reach

Species		2017- Pre-construction	2019	2020	2021
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	60	9	29	11
Largemouth Bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	4	5	7	15
Eastern Mosquitofish	<i>Gambusia holbrooki</i>		5	2	1
Green Sunfish	<i>Lepomis cyanellus</i>	1		4	11
Tessellated Darter	<i>Etheostoma olmstedii</i>	3		1	4
Pumpkinseed	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i>	2		4	
Pirate Perch	<i>Aphredoderus sayanus</i>	3			1
Yellow Bullhead	<i>Ameiurus natalis</i>	5			1
Warmouth	<i>Lepomis gulosus</i>				
American Eel	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	1			
Central Stoneroller	<i>Campostoma anomalum</i>		1	1	8
Spotfin Shiner	<i>Cyprinella spiloptera</i>		75	4	2
Bluntnose Minnow	<i>Pimephales notatus</i>		110	4	
Creek Chub	<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i>				3
Channel Catfish	<i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>			2	
Gizzard Shad	<i>Dorosoma cepedianum</i>			1	
Redbreast Sunfish	<i>Lepomis auritus</i>			1	
<b>Total species captured</b>					
		8	6	12	10
<b>Total individuals captured</b>					
		79	205	60	57



# Little Westham Creek – Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Order	Family	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Habitat Preference	Functional Feeding Group	Pre-Restoration	Year 1	Year 2
						2019 Count	2020 Count	2021 Count
Amphipoda	Gammaridae	Scuds	6	Sw	Collector	20	43	46
Annelida-Hirudinea-unknown	Unknown	Leech	NA	NA	NA	1	3	
Annelida-Oligochaeta-unknown	Unknown	Aquatic worm	NA	Bu	Collector	3	3	
Coleoptera	Elmidae	Riffle beetle	4	Cn	Scraper		5	6
Diptera	Chironomidae	Non-biting midge	5	Sp, Bu, Cb	Collector	4	2	13
Diptera	Simuliidae	Black fly	6	Cn	Filterer	1		
Diptera	Tipulidae	Cranefly	3	Bu	Shredder	1	4	2
Ephemeroptera	Baetidae	Small minnow mayfly	4	Sw	Collector	23	9	2
Odonata-Anisoptera	Aeshnidae	Darner Dragonfly	3	Cb	Predator			2
Odonata-Anisoptera	Libellulidae	Skimmer Dragonfly	9	Sp	Predator			2
Odonata-Zygoptera	Calopterygidae	Broadwinged damselfly	5	Cb	Predator		2	2
Odonata-Zygoptera	Coenagrionidae	Narrowwinged damselfly	9	Cb	Predator	1	3	17
Pelecypoda	Corbiculidae	Asian Clam	8	Sp	Filterer		1	
Trichoptera	Hydropsychidae	Common net-spinning caddisfly	6	Cn	Filterer	59	24	23
Trichoptera	Philopotamidae	Fingernet caddisfly	3	Cn	Collector	2		
Tricladida	Unknown	Flatworm	8	NA	Collector	5	11	

The Virginia Stream Condition Index (VSCI)						Difference				
<b>Habitat abbreviations:</b> bu=burrower, cb=climber, cn=clinger, sp= sprawler, dv=diver, sk=skater, sw= swimmer						<b># Taxa</b>	11	12	10	+, -
						<b># EPT Taxa</b>	3	2	2	-, =
*HBI is Hilsenhoff Biotic Index-uses tolerance levels to weight abundance in an estimate of overall pollution. HBI increases in response to increased perturbation.						<b># intolerant of urban stress (TV = 0-3)</b>	2	1	2	-, +
Identifies metrics that are expected to decrease in response to increased perturbation						<b>% Ephemeroptera</b>	19	8	2	-, -
						<b>%Plecopter+Trichoptera-Hydropsychidae</b>	2	0	0	-, =
						<b>% Scraper Taxa</b>	0	5	5	+, =
Identifies metrics that are expected to increase in response to increased perturbation						<b>% Chironomidae</b>	3	2	11	-, +
						<b>%Top 2 Dominant</b>	68	71	60	+, -
						<b>HBI</b>	5.6	5.7	6.3	8+, +
						<b>VSCI Score</b>	39.43	36.15	34.18	-, -



# Proctors Creek, Chesterfield VA - TMDL

---



**Pre-Restoration**

- 6.2 mi<sup>2</sup> suburban watershed, 14% impervious
- Highly acidic soils in reach, limiting bank vegetation
- Lack of habitat diversity and complexity



**Post-Restoration**

- Buried acidic soils under vegetated floodplain bench
- More diverse riffle and pool habitat with wood toe treatment

# Proctors Creek - Fish

Proctors Fish Species Caught By Year

Species		Restoration Reach	Reference Reach	Restoration Reach	Reference Reach	Restoration Reach	Reference Reach
		2018 - Pre-construction	2018	2021- Post-construction	2021	2022 - Year 1	2022
Chain Pickerel	<i>Esox niger</i>	1		1			
Largemouth Bass	<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	3		2		2	2
American Eel	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	3	5	8	16	2	17
Mud Minnow	<i>Umbra pygmaea</i>			4		1	3
Pirate Perch	<i>Aphredoderus sayanus</i>	2	1	5	1	1	1
Yellow Bullhead	<i>Ameiurus natalis</i>		1	1	2	6	6
Bluehead Chub	<i>Nocomis leptocephalus</i>			8	3	2	18
Creek Chub	<i>Semotilus atromaculatus</i>		1	2	4	1	25
Shorthead Redhorse	<i>Moxostoma erythrurum</i>			1			
Creek Chubsucker	<i>Erimyzon oblongus</i>					5	1
Tessellated Darter	<i>Etheostoma olmstedi</i>					1	
Redbreast Sunfish	<i>Lepomis auritus</i>		16	2	12	9	26
Green Sunfish	<i>Lepomis cyanellus</i>				3		1
Bluegill	<i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>		4	2	4	2	
Easetern Mosquitofish	<i>Gambusia holbrooki</i>			1			5
<b>Total Species Captured</b>							
		4	6	12	8	11	11
<b>Total Individuals Captured</b>							
		9	28	37	45	32	105



Bluehead Chub



Bluehead Chub Nest



# Proctors Creek – Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Order	Family	Common Name	Habitat Preference	Functional Feeding Group	Year 1 (2021)		Year 2 (2022)		Difference	
					Reference	Reach 3	Reference	Reach 3		
<i>Amphipoda</i>	<i>Gammaridae</i>	Scuds	Sw	Collector			5			
<i>Ephemeroptera</i>	<i>Baetidae</i>	Small minnow mayfly	Sw	Collector			14	8		
<i>Ephemeroptera</i>	<i>Heptageniidae</i> <sup>C</sup>	Flatheaded mayfly	Cn	Scraper	5	7	7	10		
<i>Odonata - Zygoptera</i>	<i>Calopterygidae</i>	Broad-winged damselfly	Cb	Predator			3			
<i>Odonata - Zygoptera</i>	<i>Coenagrionidae</i>	Narrow-winged damselfly	Cb	Predator		11	7	3		
<i>Odonata - Anisoptera</i>	<i>Aeshnidae</i>	Darner dragonfly	Cb	Predator	3		2	2		
<i>Odonata - Anisoptera</i>	<i>Libellulidae</i>	Skimmer dragonfly	Sp	Predator				1		
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Glossosomatidae</i> <sup>C</sup>	Saddle case-maker caddisfly	Cn	Scraper			1			
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Hydropsychidae</i>	Common net-spinning caddisfly	Cn	Filterer	50	20	7	5		
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Philopotamidae</i> <sup>C</sup>	Fingernet caddisfly	Cn	Collector	6	6	8	7		
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Phryganeidae</i>	Giant case-maker caddisfly	Cb	Shredder	2					
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Uenoidae</i> <sup>C</sup>	Uenoid case-maker caddisfly	Cn	Scraper			1	2		
<i>Coleoptera</i>	<i>Elmidae</i> <sup>~</sup>	Riffle beetle larva	Cn	Scraper	20	10	17	28		
<i>Coleoptera</i>	<i>Psephenidae</i> <sup>C</sup>	Water-penny	Cn	Scraper	3	2	11	8		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Chironomidae</i>	Non-biting midge	Sp, Bu, Cb	Collector	14	37	16	34		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Empididae</i>	Dance fly larva	Sp	Predator	1			1		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Simuliidae</i>	Blackfly larva	Cn	Filterer	14	19	13	7		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Tipulidae</i>	Cranefly larva	Bu	Shredder	1					
<b>The Coastal Plain Macroinvertebrate Index (CPMI)</b>										
<p>*HBI is Hilsenhoff Biotic Index-uses tolerance levels to weight abundance in an estimate of overall pollution. HBI increases in response to increased pertubation.</p> <p><sup>C</sup> denotes <i>Clinger</i> habitat species</p> <p><b>Habitat abbreviations:</b> bu=burrower, cb=climber, cn=clinger, sp= sprawler, dv=diver, sk=skater, sw= swimmer</p> <p>Identifies metrics that are expected to decrease in response to increased pertubation</p> <p>Identifies metrics that are expected to increase in response to increased pertubation</p>					<b>Total Taxa (Diversity)</b>	12	9	14	13	+
					<b>EPT Taxa</b>	5	4	6	5	+
					<b>% Ephemeroptera</b>	4	6	9	9	+
					<b>HBI</b>	5.2	5.7	4.9	4.9	-
					<b>% Clingers</b>	28	21	40	47	+
					<b>Score (Total Possible Score = 30)</b>	20	14	22	22	+
					<b>Assessment Rating</b>	Good	Stress	Good	Good	+

# Pikes Branch, Fairfax VA - TMDL

---



**Pre-construction**

- 6.2 mi<sup>2</sup> suburban watershed, 35% impervious
- Reach initiates at outfall, ends at culvert with a fish blockage
- Limited habitat diversity and complexity
- 25+ stormwater outfalls onsite



**Post-construction**

- Floodplain valley restored
- Frequent floodplain access
- Increased instream habitat diversity and complexity
- Wetlands, vernal pools, pollinator habitat



# Pikes Branch - Fish

Pikes Branch Fish Species Caught By Year

Species		2017- Pre- construction	2020 - Post Construction	2021 - Year 1
Blacknose Dace	<i>Rhinichthys atratulus</i>	313	521	487
<b>Total species captured</b>				
		1	1	1
<b>Total individuals captured</b>				
		313	521	487



# Pikes Branch – Benthic Macroinvertebrates

Order	Family	Common Name	Tolerance Value	Habitat Preference	Functional Feeding Group	Pre-Restoration	Year 1	Year 2		
						2017 Count	2020 Count	2021 Count		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Antocha</i>	Cranefly	3	Bu	Shredder	1	4	2		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Chironomidae</i>	Non-biting midge	5	Sp, Bu, Cb	Collector	10	17	12		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Simuliidae</i>	Black fly larva	6	Cn	Filterer	12	5	2		
<i>Diptera</i>	<i>Empididae</i>	Dance fly larvae	6	Sp	Predator	2				
<i>Ephemeroptera</i>	<i>Baetidae</i>	Small minnow mayfly	4	Sw, Cn	Collector	1	2	21		
<i>Gastropoda</i>	<i>Physidae</i>	Freshwater snail	8	NA	Scraper	5				
<i>Odonata-Zygoptera</i>	<i>Coenagrionidae</i>	Narrowwinged damselfly	9	Cb	Predator	3	3	7		
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Hydropsychidae</i>	Common net-spinning caddisfly	6	Cn	Filterer	22	52	49		
<i>Trichoptera</i>	<i>Philopotamidae</i>	Fingernet caddisfly	3	Cn	Collector	54	27	21		
<b>The Virginia Stream Condition Index (VSCI)</b>										
<b>Habitat abbreviations:</b> bu=burrower, cb=climber, cn=clinger, sp= sprawler, dv=diver, sk=skater, sw= swimmer  *HBI is Hilsenhoff Biotic Index-uses tolerance levels to weight abundance in an estimate of overall pollution. HBI increases in response to increased pertubation.						<b># Taxa</b>	9	7	7	- , =
						<b># EPT Taxa</b>	3	3	3	= , =
Identifies metrics that are expected to decrease in response to increased pertubation						<b># intolerant of urban stress (TV = 0-3)</b>	2	2	2	= , =
						<b>% Ephemeroptera</b>	1	2	18	+ , +
Identifies metrics that are expected to increase in response to increased pertubation						<b>%Plecopter+Trichoptera-Hydropsychidae</b>	49	26	18	- , -
						<b>% Scraper Taxa</b>	5	0	0	- , =
						<b>% Chironomidae</b>	9	16	11	+ , -
						<b>% Top 2 Dominant</b>	68	75	61	+ , -
						<b>HBI</b>	4.5	5.2	5.2	+ , =
						<b>VSCI Score (Total Possible Score = 100)</b>	49	40	45	- , +



# Pikes Branch – Floodplain

---

- **Limited biological uplift in the channel in the near term**
- **BUT look outside of channel!**
  - Wetland Habitat for amphibians
  - Floodplain forest instead of upland forest with invasives
  - Pollinator habitat throughout floodplain
- **Stream Restoration is really valley restoration**
  - We need to value floodplains, wetlands, pools and early successional habitat as much as we do the streams
- **Future Removal of Fish Blockage** should allow fish movement from downstream



# Conclusions

---

- Every site has different potential for uplift, dependent on context
- Repopulation post-construction can happen quickly if there is a robust upstream and downstream community
- Some species require several years to repopulate a site
- Uplift considerations should include both stream and valley
- Value of biological monitoring – benefit much greater than cost



# Questions

[bstephens@res.us](mailto:bstephens@res.us)

